

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office

Idress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/653,517	08/31/2000	Michael K. MacKay	7451.0029-00	4624
22852 7590 12/11/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP			EXAMINER	
			CHEN, SHIN HON	
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 09/653,517
 MACKAY ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Shin-Hon Chen
 2131

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Shin-Hon Chen</u> .	(3)					
(2) Weiguo Chen.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>04 December 2007</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□	applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) [If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,4 and 5</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Grecsek</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)] was not reached. h)⊠ Ñ/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general na reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representative in</u>	nitiated interview to discuss difference between p					

reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representative initiated interview to discuss difference between prior art</u> and claims. <u>Upon discussion</u>, the examiner has suggested amendment to the claims to point out the features that <u>distinctly differentiate from prior art</u> and to present a better understanding of the claimed invention.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

LHu (h. 12/4/67 Examiner's signature, if required